Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

# A pseudosymmetric triclinic modification of triphenyltin isopropylxanthate

Seik Weng Ng and A. David Rae

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

This paper is published electronically. It meets the data-validation criteria for publication in *Acta Crystallographica Section C*. The submission has been checked by a Section C Co-editor though the text in the "Comments" section is the responsibility of the authors.

Acta Crystallographica Section C **Crystal Structure Communications** ISSN 0108-2701

# A pseudosymmetric triclinic modification of triphenyltin isopropylxanthate

# Seik Weng Ng<sup>a</sup>\* and A. David Rae<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, and <sup>b</sup>Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, A.C.T. 0200, Australia Correspondence e-mail: h1nswen@umcsd.um.edu.my

Received 15 October 1999 Accepted 19 November 1999

#### Data validation number: IUC0000009

All three independent molecules in the triclinic modification of (*O*-isopropyl dithiocarbonato-*S*)triphenyltin,  $[Sn(C_6H_5)_3 (C_4H_7OS_2)$ ], show tetrahedral coordination at their Sn atoms. Bond dimensions involving the Sn atoms are similar to those found in the monoclinic modification of the same compound. Two of the independent molecules are related by a pseudotranslation allowing a stacking fault that reduces the intensities of h + k odd reflections.

## Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I), has a 0.8395:0.1605(3) stacking fault over alternative origins (a + b)/2 apart that causes the h + k = 2n + 1 reflections to be scaled down by a factor of 0.6791 (5). The structure consists of three different layers perpendicular to  $c^*$ , each containing two molecles per



unit cell. The first two layers are related by a crystallographic inversion whereas the third contains inversion-related molecules. The asymmetric unit is one layer at approximately z =1/3 that contains molecule a and molecule b [related by a pseudo-translation of (a + b)/2 and a third molecule (molecule c) of a different orientation at approximately z = 0. A pseudo-inversion at  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$  relates the layers at  $z = \pm \frac{1}{3}$ , and if it acts on the total substructure on one side of an interface at z = $\frac{1}{2}$ , the net result is a translation of the structure by (a + b)/2. The structure factors are changed to [(1-a) + $a(-1)^{h+k}]F(hkl)_o$ , where  $F(hkl)_o$  is the structure for the idealized non-stacking-faulted structure and a the average fraction of structure that has been stacking faulted. This effect can be modeled by simply using two scale constants.

Bond dimensions involving the Sn atom are not statistically different among the three molecules, and they are similar to those found in the monoclinic modification (Tiekink & Winter, 1986). For both modifications, the tetrahedral geometry at Sn is distorted owing to an intramolecular Sn...O interaction. The interaction is somewhat shorter than those found in the two independent molecules of triclinic  $(P\overline{1})$  triphenyltin methoxyethylxanthate (Edwards et al., 1988). This structure is ordered with the two molecules related by a pseudo-inversion at (0.127, 0.215, 0.253).

## **Experimental**

Triphenyltin xanthate, (I), synthesized from reaction between triphenyltin chloride and potassium isopropylxanthate in ethanol, was treated with an equimolar quantity of 2,2'-bipyridine N,N'-dioxide in an attempt to synthesize triphenyltin isopropylxanthate 2,2'-bipyridine N, N'-dioxide in a sequel to the study on the quinoline Noxide complex (Ng et al., 1995). The reagents were dissolved in a small volume of hot ethanol; slow cooling of the solution returned the xanthate. Elemental analysis: found: C 54.50, H 4.55%; calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OS<sub>2</sub>Sn: C 54.46, H 4.57%. The starting triphenyltin isopropylxanthate reactant exists as the monoclinic modification when recrystallized from ethanol.

#### Crystal data

$[Sn(C_6H_5)_3(C_4H_7OS_2)]$	Z = 6
$M_r = 485.21$	$D_x = 1.466 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 10.3136 (3) Å	Cell parameters from 25
b = 12.124(1) Å	reflections
c = 27.139 (3) Å	$\theta = 14.5 - 15.0^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 100.346 \ (9)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 1.360 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 93.422(7)^{\circ}$	T = 298 (2)  K
$\gamma = 97.605 \ (7)^{\circ}$	Cube, light yellow
V = 3297.0 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.43 \times 0.43 \times 0.43$ mm
Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffract-	8184 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$
ometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.013$
$\omega$ scans	$\theta_{max} = 24.98^{\circ}$

Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan [North et al. (1968) in WinGX (Farrugia, 1998)]  $T_{\min} = 0.515, T_{\max} = 0.557$ 12 299 measured reflections 11 586 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on F	271 param
R = 0.050	Part of TL
R = missing	$w = 1/[\sigma(F)]$
wR = 0.090	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} =$
S = 1.45	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1$
11 586 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 24.98$  $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$  $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$  $l = -32 \rightarrow 32$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: 2%

```
eters
X rigid body motions
(0.04F)^2 + (0.04F)^2
 0.3
.31 e Å<sup>-3</sup>
-0.83 e Å<sup>-3</sup>
```

# bondtable >

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Sn1a-S1a	2.443 (1)	Sn1b-C7b	2.131 (3)
Sn1a-C1a	2.148 (3)	Sn1b-C13b	2.133 (3)
Sn1a-C7a	2.131 (3)	Sn1c-S1c	2.444 (2)
Sn1a-C13a	2.134 (3)	Sn1c-C1c	2.136 (4)
Sn1b-S1b	2.444 (1)	Sn1c-C7c	2.135 (4)
Sn1b-C1b	2.148 (3)	Sn1c-C13c	2.135 (4)
S1a-Sn1a-C1a	98.3 (2)	C1 <i>b</i> -Sn1 <i>b</i> -C13 <i>b</i>	109.8 (2)
S1a-Sn1a-C7a	106.3 (2)	C7b-Sn1b-C13b	116.7 (2)
S1a-Sn1a-C13a	113.5 (2)	S1c-Sn1c-C1c	97.2 (2)
C1a-Sn1a-C7a	114.8 (2)	S1c-Sn1c-C7c	108.1 (1)
C1a-Sn1a-C13a	110.4 (2)	S1c-Sn1c-C13c	114.5 (1)
C7a-Sn1a-C13a	112.8 (2)	C1c-Sn1c-C7c	114.0 (2)
S1b-Sn1b-C7b	105.9 (2)	C1c-Sn1c-C13c	111.9 (2)
S1b-Sn1b-C13b	110.5 (2)	C7c-Sn1c-C13c	110.6 (2)
C1b-Sn1b-C7b	113.4 (2)		. ,

#### Table 2

Refinement statistics.

Class <sup>a</sup>	Number	R(F)	$R(F^2)$	wR	GoF	$< F^2 >^b$
1	4741	0.040	0.088	0.056	1.18	1000
2	2927	0.088	0.138	0.110	1.82	145
1 and 2 3	7668 3918	0.050 0.674	0.093 0.984	0.075 0.453	1.46 1.28	676 7

<sup>†</sup> Notes: an uncorrelated 4% error in |F(h)| was included along with counting statistics for evaluating weights. (a) Class 1: reflections with h + k = 2n and  $I(h) > 3\sigma[I(h)]$ ; class 2: reflections with h + k = 2n + 1 and  $I(h) > 3\sigma[I(h)]$ ; class 3: reflections with  $I(h) < 3\sigma[I(h)]$ . (b) Scaled relative to 1000 for class 1.

Two scale constants were used in the refinement to allow for a stacking fault over origins (a + b)/2 apart. Difference maps were calculated with the effects of a stacking fault removed by setting  $F_o =$  $[Y_o/Y_c]^{1/2} \times F_c$ , where  $F_c$  is the calculated structure factor for the prototype, and  $Y_o$  and  $Y_c$  are the observed and calculated values of the intensity. Because reflections are weak for h + k odd, constraints and restraints were used in a refinement that only behaved when two scale constants were used. The modeling for the least-squares refinement used refineable local orthonormal coordinates available in RAELS96 (Rae, 1975, 1996) to describe the atoms in the unit cell. All phenyl groups were restrained to have a common set of local coordinates and to maintain local mm2 symmetry. Restraints were used to minimize differences in bond lengths involving molecule a and molecule b. Twelve different 15-parameter TLX parameterizations (Rae, 1984) were used for the ligand thermal motions, one set per ligand, and librations were initially centered on the relevant Sn atoms. Because of the pseudo translation relationship between molecule a and molecule b, the TLX thermal parameterizations were constrained to retain this relationship (Rae, 1984). These constraints

were later removed but reimposed when it was found that variations in TLX parameters were relatively large, had little effect on the statistics and were of no apparent physical meaning. Individual anisotropic displacement parameters were used for the Sn atoms. The stacking fault creates a Sn1c atom at  $(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z)$  which lies near atoms C20c and C22c, distorting the apparent geometry in an unconstrained ligand. The distances and angles involving C20c were therefore restrained to minimize their differences from the corresponding features of molecules a and b with little apparent consequence for refinement statistics. In the final cycle, 271 independent variables were used, and the shift/error ratio was less than 0.1 for all but the libration parameters of one ligand. The refinement was stable, the shift/error ratio being an artifact of considering variables one at a time. There is a well recognized singularity in the equations for TLS motion for atoms on a conic section, which is retained should a TLX model of 6 phenyl C atoms have its center of action at the center of the ring. H atoms were included in geometrically sensible positions which were updated each refinement cycle. The thermal parameters of H atoms were evaluated using the TLX parameters associated with the atoms to which they are attached. Quoted errors are conditional on the appropriateness of the various constraints and restraints. Refinement statistics are presented in Table 2.

Data collection: *CAD-4/PC* (Kretschmar, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4/PC* (Enraf–Nonius, 1988); data reduction: *XCAD*4 (Harms, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *RAELS*96 (Rae, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *RAELS*96 (Rae, 1996).

We thank the National Science Council for R & D, Malaysia (IRPA 09–02–03–0371), and the Australian National University for supporting this work.

#### References

Edwards, A. J., Hoskins, B. F. & Winter, G. (1988). *Acta Cryst.* C44, 1541–1543. Enraf–Nonius Delft (1988). *CAD*-4 *VAX/PC* Fortran System. Enraf–Nonius

Delft, Scientific Instruments Division, PO Box 483, 2600 AL Delft, The Netherlands.

Farrugia, L. J. (1998). *WinGX*. Version 1.61. University of Glasgow, Scotland. Harms, K. (1997). *XCAD*4. University of Marburg, Germany.

Kretschmar, M. (1994). CAD-4/PC. Version 1.5c. University of Tubingen, Germany.

Ng, S. W., Kumar Das, V. G. & Drew, M. G. B. (1995). *Main Group Met. Chem.* **18**, 303–307.

North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.

Rae, A. D. (1975). Acta Cryst. A31, 560-570, 570-574.

Rae, A. D. (1984). Acta Cryst. A40 Suppl., C-428.

Rae, A. D. (1996). RAELS96. Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Tiekink, E. R. T. & Winter, G. (1986). J. Organomet. Chem. 314, 85-89.